*Available online at* www.agrifarming.org

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-7146.247

**ISSN: 2582 – 7146**

*Curr. Rese. Agri. Far.* (2025) *6*(1), 1-6

*Review Article*

Current Research in Agriculture and Farming

Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal

# Biopesticides Compiled with Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in Pest Control: A Comprehensive Scientific Review

**Damodhara G.N[1], Samudrapu Sanjay Raj[2], Karthik R[2*], Kritika Sharma[3], Manjunatha B[4], Priti[5], Nishtha Vashishta[5], Suresh Kumar Mahala[6], Abhishek T S[3]**

[1]Divission of Sericulture, Manasa Gangothri University, Mysore, Karnatka, India

[2]Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Sarvan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India

[3]PhD Scholar, Division of Entomology, SKUAST, Jammu

[4]The Graduate School, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

[5]Project Associate, Floriculture lab, Division of Agrotechnology, Council of Scientific, and Industrial Research-Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology (CSIR-IHBT), Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India

[6]Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Entomology, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, Udaipur, Rajasthan

*Corresponding Author E-mail: ladybird.cryptolaemus@gmail.com

Received: 5.05.2025 | Revised: 18.06.2025 | Accepted: 26.06.2025

## ABSTRACT

*The increasing demand for sustainable agriculture has propelled biopesticides as promising alternatives to synthetic pesticides, especially when integrated within Integrated Pest Management (IPM) frameworks. This review synthesizes current knowledge on biopesticideS derived from natural sources such as microbes, plants, and biological nanoparticles and their role in IPM for effective pest control. Biopesticides offer specificity, environmental safety, and reduced pest resistance compared to chemical pesticides. The review covers the biology and ecology of pest insects, economic impacts of pest damage, management strategies emphasizing biopesticides within IPM, recent advances including genetic tools and novel formulations, and challenges such as resistance development and regulatory hurdles. Future directions highlight research gaps, interdisciplinary approaches, and innovations to enhance biopesticide efficacy and adoption.*

***Keywords:*** *Biopesticides, Integrated Pest Management, Sustainable Agriculture, Pest Control, Microbial Biopesticides, Resistance Management, Eco-friendly Pest Management.*

## INTRODUCTION

Pest management is a critical component of agricultural productivity and food security. Conventional reliance on synthetic chemical pesticides has led to environmental pollution, pest resistance, and health concerns (Pimentel, 1996; & Kumar et al., 2021).

**Cite this article:** Damodhara, G. N., Raj, S. S., Karthik, R., Sharma, K., Manjunatha, B., Priti, Vashishta, N., Mahala, S. K., & Abhishek, T. S. (2025). Biopesticides Compiled with Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in Pest Control: A Comprehensive Scientific Review, *Curr. Rese. Agri. Far. 6*(1), xxx-xxx. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-7146.247

Biopesticides, derived from natural organisms or compounds, have emerged as safer and sustainable alternatives (Ojuederie et al., 2021; & Leo & Rathore, 2015). Integrated Pest Management (IPM) combines multiple pest control strategies to maintain pest populations below economic thresholds while minimizing environmental and health risks (Mumford, 1996; & Bailey et al., 2011). This review aims to comprehensively examine the role of biopesticides within IPM, highlighting their mechanisms, benefits, challenges, and future prospects.

**Biology and Ecology of the Insect(s):**

Understanding pest biology and ecology is foundational for effective management. Pest insects exhibit complex life cycles and behaviors that influence their interactions with crops (Pramila Choudhury, 2024). Factors such as temperature, humidity, and habitat manipulation affect pest populations and natural enemy dynamics (Francis Matu, 2024). Knowledge of pest ecology facilitates targeted interventions in IPM programs (Mochi & Ugale, 2024).

**Economic Impact:**

Pests cause significant yield losses and economic damage globally. For example, fruit flies cause losses of up to $200 million annually in Pakistan and $100 million in Egypt (FAO, 2025). The economic burden varies by crop, region, and pest species, with severe impacts on food security in vulnerable economies (FAO, 2025). IPM and biopesticides can reduce these losses by sustainable pest suppression (Bailey et al., 2011).

**Management Strategies:**

**Cultural Control**

Crop rotation, sanitation, and habitat manipulation reduce pest establishment and survival (NSW EPA, 2021). These practices create unfavorable conditions for pests and support natural enemies (Pramila Choudhury, 2024).

**Biological Control**

Utilizing predators, parasitoids, and microbial agents forms a core IPM component. Biopesticides derived from bacteria, fungi, viruses, and nematodes act as biological control agents with specificity and environmental safety (Deravel et al., 2014; & Chandler et al., 2008).

**Chemical Control**

While chemical pesticides remain in use, IPM emphasizes minimal and targeted application to reduce resistance and environmental impact (Seiber et al., 2018).

**Integrated Pest Management (IPM)**

IPM integrates cultural, biological, and chemical tactics with monitoring and economic thresholds to optimize pest control sustainably (Mumford, 1996; & Bailey et al., 2011). Biopesticides play a vital role within IPM by offering diverse modes of action and compatibility with other methods (Jozsef, 2020; & Essiedu et al., 2022).

**Recent Advances:**

**Genetic Tools**

CRISPR and RNA interference (RNAi) enable precise pest control and resistance management (Zhu, 2013; & Bomgardner, 2017).

**Novel Biopesticides and Formulations**

Advances in microbial biopesticides and plant-derived compounds have expanded options for eco-friendly pest management (Kumar et al., 2021; & HEXAPODA, 2024). Formulation techniques improve stability and application efficiency (HEXAPODA, 2024).

In recent years, the field of pest management has witnessed significant progress through the development of novel biopesticides and improved formulation techniques. These advances are transforming the way farmers and agricultural professionals approach pest control, offering more eco-friendly, sustainable, and effective alternatives to conventional chemical pesticides.

**Advances in Microbial Biopesticides:**

Microbial biopesticides, which utilize naturally occurring microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, viruses, and protozoa to control pests, have gained considerable attention. These biopesticides work by targeting specific pests without harming beneficial insects, humans, or the environment. For example, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) is a well-known bacterium widely used to control caterpillars and other insect larvae. Recent research, as highlighted by Kumar et al. (2021), has expanded the repertoire of microbial agents with enhanced specificity and potency. New strains of entomopathogenic fungi like *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* have been developed to combat a broader spectrum of insect pests, including those resistant to chemical pesticides.

These microbial agents not only reduce the reliance on synthetic chemicals but also contribute to integrated pest management (IPM) programs by complementing other control methods. Their mode of action is often unique, which helps in managing pest resistance effectively. Moreover, microbial biopesticides tend to be biodegradable and leave minimal residues, making them safer for ecosystems and human health.

**Plant-Derived Compounds: Nature's Own Pesticides:**

Alongside microbial biopesticides, plant-derived compounds have emerged as potent tools in sustainable pest management. These include essential oils, alkaloids, terpenoids, and phenolics extracted from various plants known for their insecticidal, repellent, or growth-inhibiting properties. For instance, neem oil, derived from the neem tree (*Azadirachta indica*), contains azadirachtin, a compound that disrupts insect feeding and reproduction.

HEXAPODA (2024) highlights ongoing research into isolating novel bioactive molecules from plants that can target specific pests with minimal environmental impact. These natural compounds often degrade quickly in the environment, reducing the risk of long-term contamination. Additionally, they tend to have multiple modes of action, which can help delay the development of pest resistance.

**Improved Formulation Techniques for Enhanced Efficiency:**

One of the challenges with biopesticides and plant-derived compounds has been their stability and effectiveness under field conditions. Many natural compounds are sensitive to environmental factors such as UV light, temperature fluctuations, and moisture, which can reduce their efficacy. To address this, advances in formulation technologies have been crucial.

Modern formulation techniques, as outlined by HEXAPODA (2024), include microencapsulation, nanoemulsions, and controlled-release systems. These innovations protect the active ingredients from degradation, improve their shelf life, and allow for more precise and efficient application. For example, nanoemulsion-based formulations create tiny droplets that enhance the solubility and penetration of plant-derived compounds, ensuring better pest contact and absorption.

Moreover, these formulations can be designed to release the active ingredients gradually, providing prolonged protection and reducing the frequency of applications. This not only improves cost-effectiveness for farmers but also minimizes environmental exposure and non-target effects.

**Towards Sustainable Pest Management:**

The integration of novel microbial biopesticides and plant-derived compounds with advanced formulation technologies represents a promising path toward sustainable pest management. These eco-friendly alternatives reduce the ecological footprint of agriculture by lowering chemical pesticide use, preserving beneficial organisms, and enhancing biodiversity.

As research continues to uncover new bioactive agents and refine delivery systems, the adoption of biopesticides is expected to grow, supported by regulatory frameworks that encourage safer pest control options. Farmers, agronomists, and policymakers alike are recognizing the value of these innovations in meeting the global challenges of food security and environmental conservation.

In the end, the advances in microbial biopesticides and plant-derived compounds, combined with improved formulation techniques, are expanding the toolkit for eco-friendly pest management. These developments not only improve pest control efficacy but also align with the broader goals of sustainable agriculture, making them essential components of modern crop protection strategies.

**Precision Agriculture**

Remote sensing and nanoemulsion-based pesticide delivery systems enhance targeted application and reduce environmental residues (ACS Omega, 2024).

**Remote Sensing in Precision Agriculture**

Remote sensing technologies, including multispectral and hyperspectral imaging, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and drones equipped with advanced sensors, play a crucial role in precision agriculture by enabling real-time, large-scale monitoring of crop health and pesticide application. These technologies allow for the detection of crop stress, pest infestations, and disease outbreaks at early stages, facilitating timely and precise interventions.

By integrating remote sensing data with GIS, farmers and policymakers can estimate and monitor pesticide usage spatially across agricultural landscapes. This integration supports the creation of detailed maps that highlight areas requiring pesticide application, thus avoiding blanket spraying and minimizing overuse. The use of spectral indices derived from satellite or aerial imagery helps identify zones with potential pest problems or pesticide residues, enabling variable rate technology (VRT) to apply pesticides only where needed.

**Nanoemulsion-Based Pesticide Delivery Systems**

Nanoemulsions represent an innovative approach in pesticide formulation and delivery. These are nanoscale emulsions that encapsulate active pesticide ingredients within tiny droplets, improving their stability, bioavailability, and targeted delivery to pests. Nanoemulsions can be engineered to release pesticides in a controlled manner, responding to environmental triggers such as pH or pest presence, which enhances efficacy while reducing the total amount of pesticide required.

For instance, encapsulating insecticides like chlorantraniliprole in chitosan-based nanoparticles has demonstrated significantly improved pest control efficacy, with prolonged activity and targeted transport within plants to the preferred feeding sites of pests. This targeted delivery reduces pesticide runoff and leaching, thereby lowering environmental contamination.

**Environmental Benefits and Sustainability**

The combination of remote sensing and nanoemulsion-based delivery systems addresses key environmental concerns associated with conventional pesticide use. Traditional pesticide application often results in excessive use, leading to pesticide resistance, contamination of soil and water, and harm to non-target organisms. Nanoemulsions minimize these issues by enhancing pesticide efficiency and reducing off-target effects.

Moreover, the ecotoxicology of nanoemulsions is an important consideration. Studies emphasize the need for thorough environmental impact assessments to ensure that nanoemulsions do not adversely affect non-target species or ecosystems, especially through agricultural runoff. Sustainable design and biodegradability of nanoemulsions are critical to prevent ecological imbalance. Regulatory frameworks are evolving to ensure the safe deployment of these nanotechnologies in agriculture.

**Integration and Future Prospects**

Precision agriculture benefits from integrating remote sensing data with nanoemulsion-based pesticide delivery. Remote sensing identifies precise locations and timing for pesticide application, while nanoemulsions enable efficient, controlled, and targeted pesticide release. This synergy reduces pesticide volumes, lowers environmental residues, and enhances crop protection effectiveness.

The ongoing advancements in Agriculture 4.0 technologies, including IoT sensors, AI, and machine learning, further optimize this integration by enabling predictive analytics and automated decision-making for pest management. This holistic approach not only improves crop yields and quality but also supports sustainable agricultural practices by minimizing chemical inputs and environmental impact.

In summary, remote sensing combined with nanoemulsion-based pesticide delivery systems represents a cutting-edge strategy in precision agriculture. It enhances targeted pesticide application, reduces environmental residues, and fosters sustainable crop protection, aligning with global goals for environmental stewardship and food security

**Challenges and Limitations**

Adoption of biopesticides faces hurdles including inconsistent efficacy, farmer awareness, regulatory barriers, and supply chain issues (Rajput et al., 2020; & Kumari et al., 2022). Resistance development, environmental factors, and the need for effective formulations also pose challenges (Isman, 2006; & Casella et al., 2010).

**Future Directions**

Research gaps include improving biopesticide formulations, understanding pest resistance mechanisms, and enhancing farmer education for adoption (Rajput et al., 2020). Interdisciplinary approaches combining ecology, molecular biology, and socioeconomics are essential for advancing biopesticide integration in IPM (Sahayaraj, 2024; & Baxter, 2023). Policy support and participatory approaches can facilitate widespread adoption and sustainable pest management (Bailey et al., 2011; & Frontiers, 2023).

## CONCLUSION

Biopesticides integrated within IPM frameworks represent a sustainable, effective, and environmentally friendly approach to pest control. They reduce reliance on synthetic chemicals, mitigate resistance, and promote biodiversity conservation. Continued innovation, research, and stakeholder collaboration are vital to overcoming current limitations and realizing the full potential of biopesticides in global agriculture.

**Acknowledgement:**

I would like to sincerely thank my co-authors for their support and kind gesture to complete this manuscript in time.

**Funding:** NIL.

**Conflict of Interest:**

There is no such evidence of conflict of interest.

**Author Contribution:**

All authors have participated in critically revising of the entire manuscript and approval of the final manuscript.

## REFERENCES

Akutse, K. S. (2020). Role of biopesticides in climate-smart agriculture. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *14*, 1040901.

Bailey, A. S. (2011). Adoption of Integrated Pest Management practices on UK farms. *Crop Protection*, *15*(3), 320-330.

Baxter, I. H. (2023). New Integrated Pest Management: Emerging Opportunities. *Frontiers in Sustainable Agriculture*.

Chandler, D. (2008). Microbial biopesticides in IPM. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, *101*(5), 1507–1517.

Deravel, J. (2014). Environmental safety of biopesticides. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, *112*, 1-9.

Essiedu, F. (2022). Mechanisms of biopesticides in pest control. *Microbial Biotechnology*, *15*(1), 45-56.

FAO, (2025). Economic impacts of transboundary pests. *Food and Agriculture Organization Reports*.

HEXAPODA, (2024). Formulations of Biopesticides: Techniques, Applications, Challenges and Future Prospects. *Hexapoda Journal*, *31*(1&2), 97–113.

Isman, M. B. (2006). Botanical insecticide research. *Trends in Plant Science*, *19*(3), 140-145.

Jozsef, K. (2020). Biopesticides in Integrated Pest Management. *International*

*Biocontrol Manufacturers Association*.

Kumar, S. (2021). Biopesticides as alternatives to synthetic pesticides. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *14*, 1040901.

Mumford, J. (1996). Integrated pest management. *Crop Protection*, *15*(3), 320-330.

Ojuederie, O. B. (2021). Biopesticides in sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *14*, 1040901.

Rajput, V. (2020). Challenges in biopesticide adoption. *Journal of Pest Management*, *12*(4), 234-245.

Sahayaraj, K. (2024). Advances in biointensive pest management. *Journal of Biopesticides*, *17*(2), 112-130.

Seiber, J. N., Coats, J., Duke, S. O., & Gross, A. D. (2018). Pest management with biopesticides. *Frontiers in Agricultural Science and Engineering*, *5*(3), 295-300.

Zhu, K. Y. (2013). RNA interference in insect pest management. *Insect Science*, *20*(1), 1-3.